

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/727,324                      | 11/30/2000    | Michael Bennett Freeman | 99-100                  | 2279             |  |
| 75                              | 90 09/24/2003 |                         |                         |                  |  |
| Stephen T. Falk                 |               |                         | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Rohm and Haas<br>100 Independen | ice Mall West |                         | SHOSHO, CALLIE E        |                  |  |
| Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399     |               |                         | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                 |               |                         | 1714                    | 1714             |  |
|                                 |               |                         | DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Ali   | Ammli aam4/a\  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/727,324  | FREEMAN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examin r  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Callie E. Shosho  | 1714   |  |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>  | ulv 2003  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | is action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the applic  | ation.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | _   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  | 5) Notice of Informal F   | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
|   | <del></del>   |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application Number: 09/727,324 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 590604.

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 2/7/03,

Paper No. 11, and is incorporated here by reference.

## **Response to Argument**

3. Applicants' arguments and 1.132 declaration filed 7/2/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Specifically, applicants argue that EP 590604 is no longer a relevant reference against the present claims in light of 1.132 declaration filed 7/2/03 which establishes unexpected or surprising results over the cited prior art.

However, it is the examiner's position that the declaration is not successful in establishing unexpected or surprising results over the "closest" prior art EP 590604 for the following reasons.

Page 7 of the declaration compares binder within the scope of the present claims, i.e. comprising average particle size, minimum to maximum particle size, and glass transition temperature (Tg) within the scope of the present claims, i.e. examples 1 and 2, with binder

Art Unit: 1714

outside the scope of the present claims, i.e. comprising average particle size and minimum to maximum particle outside the scope of the present claims (examples 3-5).

However, all the comparative examples utilize Tg of -8 °C or -10 °C which is outside the scope of EP 590604 while examples 4-5 utilize binder with minimum to maximum particle size outside the scope of EP 590604, i.e. examples 4 and 5 use minimum particle size of 88 nm and 77 nm, respectively, while the lowest minimum particle size disclosed by EP 590604 is 100 nm. Thus, the declaration does not compare presently claimed binder with binder outside the scope of the present claims but within the scope of the "closest" prior art, namely, EP 590604.

Further, examples 1, 6, and 7 are used to demonstrate criticality of Tg. However, there is not proper side-by-side comparison between binder within the scope of the present claims and binder outside the scope of the present claims. That is, examples 1 and 6 utilize binder with Tg within the scope of the present claims while example 7 utilizes binder with Tg outside the scope of the present claims. However, examples 1, 6, and 7 each utilize binder with different average particle size and different minimum to maximum particle size, so it is not clear if the difference in highlighter resistance is due to the difference in Tg or the difference in average particle size and minimum to maximum particle size.

In light of the above, it is the examiner's position that the declaration is not successful in establishing unexpected or surprising results over the cited prior art.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application Number: 09/727,324

Art Unit: 1714

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 4

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 703-305-0208. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

alle Shosho

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

CS

9/22/03